## 4 Relations

Functions  $\equiv$  Operations Relations  $\equiv$  Properties

**Remark 4.1.** In this course, we will only consider *binary* relations.

**Example 4.2.** Consider the order relation on  $A = \{0, 1, 2\}$  of *less than*. Then 0 < 1, 0 < 2, and 1 < 2. But  $2 \not< 1$ , *etc.* We shall define the order relation to be

 $<= \{ \langle 0, 1 \rangle, \langle 0, 2 \rangle, \langle 1, 2 \rangle \}.$ 

**Example 4.3.** Consider the relation E on  $A = \{0, 1, 2\}$  defined by

$$xEy$$
 iff  $x - y$  is even.

Then we shall define

$$E = \{ \langle 0, 2 \rangle, \langle 2, 0 \rangle, \langle 0, 0 \rangle, \langle 1, 1 \rangle, \langle 2, 2 \rangle \}.$$

**Definition 4.4.** Let A be a set. Then R is a *relation* on A iff  $R \subseteq A \times A$ .

**Remark 4.5.** In this case, we would usually write xRy instead of  $\langle x, y \rangle \in R$ . eg "0 < 2" is more natural than " $\langle 0, 2 \rangle \in <$ ".

**Definition 4.6 (More General Definition).** A *relation* is a set of ordered pairs.

eg  $R = \{ \langle 0, 3 \rangle, \langle 1, 4 \rangle, \langle 2, 5 \rangle, \langle 3, 6 \rangle \}$  is a relation.

**Definition 4.7.** Let R be a relation. Then we define dom R, ran R, and fld R by

 $x \in \operatorname{dom} R$  iff there exists y such that  $\langle x, y \rangle \in R$ 

 $x \in \operatorname{ran} R$  iff there exists y such that  $\langle y, x \rangle \in R$ 

 $\operatorname{fld} R = \operatorname{dom} R \cup \operatorname{ran} R$ 

**Remark 4.8.** Thus R is a relation on fld R.

**Example 4.9.** Let  $R = \{ \langle l, n \rangle \mid l \text{ is the } n^{\text{th}} \text{ letter of the alphabet} \}$ . Then dom  $R = \{l \mid l \text{ is a letter of the alphabet} \}$  and ran  $R = \{1, 2, \dots, 26\}$ .

## 5 Equivalence Relations

**Definition 5.1.** Let R be a binary relation on A.

- R is reflexive iff xRx for all  $x \in A$ .
- R is symmetric iff for all  $x, y \in A$ , if xRy then yRx.
- R is transitive iff for all  $x, y, z \in A$ , if xRy and yRz then xRz.
- R is an *equivalence relation* iff R is reflexive, symmetric, and transitive.

**Example 5.2.** Let E be the relation on  $\mathbb{Z}$  defined by

$$xEy$$
 iff  $3|x-y|$ 

Then E is an equivalence relation.

*Proof.* We check that E is reflexive, symmetric, and transitive.

- If  $x \in \mathbb{Z}$ , then 3|x x = 0 since  $0 = 3 \cdot 0$ . Thus xEx.
- Suppose that xEy. Then 3|x y and so there exists  $z \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $x y = 3 \cdot z$ . Then  $y - x = 3 \cdot (-z)$  and so 3|y - x. Thus yEx.
- Suppose that xEy and yEz. Thus 3|x y and 3|y z. Hence there exist  $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that x y = 3a and y z = 3b. It follows that

$$x-z = (x-y) + (y-z)$$
  
=  $3a + 3b$   
=  $3(a+b)$ 

and so 3|x-z. Thus xEz.

**Exercise 5.3.** Define a relation R on  $\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$  by

$$\langle a, b \rangle R \langle c, d \rangle$$
 iff  $a + d = c + b$ 

Prove that R is an equivalence relation on  $\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$ .

**Definition 5.4.** Suppose that R is an equivalence relation on A. Then, for each  $x \in A$ , the R-equivalence class of x is

$$[x]_R = \{ y \in A \mid xRy \}.$$

**Theorem 5.5.** Suppose that R is an equivalence relation on A.

•  $x \in [x]_R$  for each  $x \in A$ .

2006/09/20

• If  $x, y \in A$  and  $[x]_R \cap [y]_R \neq \emptyset$ , then  $[x]_R = [y]_R$ .

Proof.

- If  $x \in A$ , then xRx and so  $x \in [x]_R$ .
- Suppose that  $z \in [x]_R \cap [y]_R$ ; *ie* xRz and yRz. Since yRz, it follows that zRy. Since xRz and zRy, it follows that xRy. We claim that  $[y]_R \subseteq [x]_R$ . To see this, suppose that  $t \in [y]_R$ ; *ie* yRt. Since xRy and yRt, it follows that xRt and so  $t \in [x]_R$ . Similarly,  $[x]_R \subseteq [y]_R$  and so  $[x]_R = [y]_R$ .

**Definition 5.6.** Let A be a set. Then  $\Pi$  is a *partition* of A iff:

- $\Pi$  is a set of nonempty subsets of A.
- If  $B, C \in \Pi$  are distinct, then  $B \cap C = \emptyset$ .
- $\bigcup \Pi = A$ .

**Example 5.7.**  $\Pi = \{0, 2\}, \{1, 3\}, \{4\}\}$  is a partition of  $A = \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4\}$ .

**Theorem 5.8.** Suppose that R is an equivalence relation on A. Then

$$\{[x]_R \mid x \in A\}$$

is a partition of A.

**Example 5.9.** Let E be the equivalence relation on  $\mathbb{Z}$  defined by

$$xEy$$
 iff  $3|x-y|$ 

Then

$$[0]_E = \{\dots, -6, -3, 0, 3, 6, \dots\}$$
$$[1]_E = \{\dots, -5, -2, 1, 4, 7, \dots\}$$
$$[2]_E = \{\dots, -4, -1, 2, 5, 8, \dots\}$$

Thus  $\{[0]_E, [1]_E, [2]_E\}$  is the corresponding partition of  $\mathbb{Z}$ .

**Theorem 5.10.** Suppose that  $\Pi$  is a partition of the set A. Define the relation  $R_{\Pi}$  by:

$$xR_{\Pi}y$$
 iff there exists  $B \in \Pi$  such that  $x, y \in B$ .

Then:

- $R_{\Pi}$  is an equivalence relation on A.
- $\Pi$  is the set of  $R_{\Pi}$ -equivalence classes.

2006/09/20

Proof. Exercise!

**Definition 5.11.** Suppose that R is an equivalence relation on A. Then the *quotient* set is

$$A/R = \{ [x]_R \mid x \in A \}$$

and the natural map / canonical map  $\varphi \colon A \to A/R$  is defined by

$$\varphi(x) = [x]_R.$$