

## 6 Order Relations

**Definition 6.1.** Let  $A$  be a set. A *linear order* on  $A$  is a binary relation on  $A$  which satisfies the following properties:

- $R$  is transitive.
- $R$  satisfies *trichotomy*; ie if  $x, y \in A$  then *exactly one* of the three alternatives

$$xRy, \quad x = y, \quad yRx$$

holds.

**Example 6.2.**  $R = \{\langle a, b \rangle \mid a, b \in \mathbb{N} \text{ and } a < b\}$  is a linear order on  $\mathbb{N}$ .

**Example 6.3.**  $S = \{\langle a, b \rangle \mid a, b \in \mathbb{N} \text{ and } a > b\}$  is a linear order on  $\mathbb{N}$ .

**Example 6.4.**  $T = \{\langle a, b \rangle \mid a, b \in \mathbb{N} \text{ and } a \leq b\}$  is *not* a linear order on  $\mathbb{N}$ , since  $0 = 0$  and *OTO*. Thus  $T$  does not satisfy trichotomy.

**Example 6.5.** Define a binary relation  $<_L$  on  $\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$  by

$$\langle a, b \rangle <_L \langle c, d \rangle \iff \text{either } a < c \text{ or } (a = c \text{ and } b < d).$$

Then  $<_L$  is a linear order on  $\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$

*Proof.* We check that  $<_L$  is transitive and satisfies trichotomy.

(a) Suppose that  $\langle a, b \rangle <_L \langle c, d \rangle$  and  $\langle c, d \rangle <_L \langle e, f \rangle$ . There are four cases to consider.

**Case 1** Suppose that  $a < c$  and  $c < e$ . Then  $a < e$  and so  $\langle a, b \rangle <_L \langle e, f \rangle$ .

**Case 2** Suppose that  $a < c$  and  $(c = e \text{ and } d < f)$ . Then  $a < e$  and so  $\langle a, b \rangle <_L \langle e, f \rangle$ .

**Case 3** Suppose that  $(a = c \text{ and } b < d)$  and  $c < e$ . Then  $a < e$  and so  $\langle a, b \rangle <_L \langle e, f \rangle$ .

**Case 4** Suppose that  $(a = c \text{ and } b < d)$  and  $(c = e \text{ and } d < f)$ . Then  $a = e$  and  $b < f$ . Hence  $\langle a, b \rangle <_L \langle e, f \rangle$ .

(b) Suppose that  $\langle a, b \rangle \neq \langle c, d \rangle$ . If  $a < c$  then  $\langle a, b \rangle <_L \langle c, d \rangle$ ; and if  $c < a$ , then  $\langle c, d \rangle <_L \langle a, b \rangle$ . On the other hand, if  $a = c$ , then either  $b < d$  or  $d < b$ ; and so either  $\langle a, b \rangle <_L \langle c, d \rangle$  or  $\langle c, d \rangle <_L \langle a, b \rangle$ .

Hence *at least one* alternative always holds.

Suppose that two of the alternatives hold for  $\langle a, b \rangle, \langle c, d \rangle$ . Then clearly  $\langle a, b \rangle \neq \langle c, d \rangle$ . Hence we must have that

$$\langle a, b \rangle <_L \langle c, d \rangle \quad \text{and} \quad \langle c, d \rangle <_L \langle a, b \rangle.$$

Clearly this rules out both  $a < c$  and  $c < a$ . Thus  $a = c$ . But then we must have  $b < d$  and  $d < b$ , which is impossible.  $\square$

**Exercise 6.6.** Show that  $<_L$  is a well-ordering.

## 7 The Natural Numbers

In this section, we shall define each natural number to be a suitable set. It will turn out that

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \emptyset \\ 1 &= \{\emptyset\} \\ 2 &= \{\emptyset, \{\emptyset\}\} \\ 3 &= \{\emptyset, \{\emptyset\}, \{\emptyset, \{\emptyset\}\}\} \\ &\text{etc} \end{aligned}$$

In particular, we shall have

$$0 \in 1 \in 2 \in 3 \in \dots$$

and

$$0 \subseteq 1 \subseteq 2 \subseteq 3 \subseteq \dots$$

Notice also that

$$n < m \quad \text{iff} \quad n \in m$$

**Definition 7.1.** If  $a$  is any set, then its *successor* is defined to be

$$a^+ = a \cup \{a\}.$$

**Example 7.2.**

$$\begin{aligned} \emptyset^+ &= \emptyset \cup \{\emptyset\} = \{\emptyset\} \\ \{\emptyset\}^+ &= \{\emptyset\} \cup \{\{\emptyset\}\} = \{\emptyset, \{\emptyset\}\} \end{aligned}$$

**Notation** We shall write  $0 = \emptyset$  and  $n = \overbrace{(\dots ((\emptyset^+)^+) \dots)^+}^{n \text{ times}}$

**Example 7.3.**  $2 = (\emptyset^+)^+$

**Definition 7.4.** A set  $A$  is *inductive* iff the following conditions are satisfied:

1.  $\emptyset \in A$

2. If  $a \in A$ , then  $a^+ \in A$ .

**Remark 7.5.** If  $A$  is inductive, then  $n \in A$  for all  $n$ . In particular,  $A$  is infinite. So we cannot prove the existence of an inductive set using our current axioms.

**Axiom 7.6 (Infinity).** *There exists an inductive set.*

**Definition 7.7.** A *natural number* is a set that belongs to every inductive set.

**Theorem 7.8.** *There exists a set  $B$  such that for all  $x$ ,*

$$x \in B \text{ iff } x \text{ is a natural number.}$$

*Proof.* By the Infinity Axiom, there exists an inductive set  $A$ . By the Subset Axiom, there exists a set  $B$  such that for all  $x$ ,

$$x \in B \text{ iff } x \in A \text{ and } x \text{ belongs to every inductive set.}$$

Clearly  $B$  satisfies our requirements. □

**Definition 7.9.**

$$\omega = \{x \mid x \text{ is a natural number}\}$$

Short discussion of the ordinals...

**Theorem 7.10.**

1.  $\omega$  is inductive.
2. If  $A$  is inductive, then  $\omega \subseteq A$ .

*Proof.* 1. Since  $\emptyset$  belongs to every inductive set, it follows that  $\emptyset \in \omega$ . Next suppose that  $a \in \omega$ . Then  $a$  belongs to every inductive set and so  $a^+$  belongs to every inductive set. Hence  $a^+ \in \omega$ . Thus  $\omega$  is inductive.

2. Let  $A$  be any inductive set. If  $a \in \omega$ , then  $a$  belongs to every inductive set. In particular,  $a \in A$ . Thus  $\omega \subseteq A$ . □

**Question 7.11.** Does there exist an inductive set  $A \neq \omega$ ?

**Answer.** Yes...

**Theorem 7.12 (Induction principle for  $\omega$ ).** *If  $T$  is an inductive subset of  $\omega$ , then  $T = \omega$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose that  $T$  is an inductive subset of  $\omega$ . Then clearly  $T \subseteq \omega$ . By the previous theorem, since  $T$  is inductive,  $\omega \subseteq T$ . Hence  $T = \omega$ . □

**Application.** If  $n \in \omega$ , then either  $n = 0$  or there exists  $m \in \omega$  such that  $n = m^+$ .

*Proof.* Let  $T = \{n \in \omega \mid n = 0 \text{ or } (\exists m \in \omega) n = m^+\}$ . We claim that  $T$  is inductive. Clearly  $0 \in T$ . Next suppose that  $k \in T$ . Then clearly  $k^+ \in T$ . Hence  $T$  is an inductive subset of  $\omega$ . By Induction,  $T = \omega$ .  $\square$

Next we would like to define the usual arithmetic operations on  $\omega$ .

**Definition 7.13.**

1.  $f$  is a *unary operation* on  $A$  iff  $f: A \rightarrow A$ .
2.  $g$  is a *binary operation* on  $A$  iff  $f: A \times A \rightarrow A$ .

**Example 7.14.** The successor operation on  $\omega$  is the unary operation  $\sigma: \omega \rightarrow \omega$  defined by  $\sigma(n) = n^+$ . In other words,

$$\sigma = \{\langle n, m \rangle \mid \langle n, m \rangle \in \omega \times \omega \text{ and } m = n^+\}.$$

Next we would like to define a binary operation

$$a: \omega \times \omega \rightarrow \omega$$

such that

$$a(m, n) = m + n.$$

We shall define  $a$  by *recursion* on  $\omega$ , using the successor operation, as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} m + 0 &= m \\ m + n^+ &= (m + n)^+ \end{aligned}$$

In other words, we shall define  $a$  by:

$$\begin{aligned} a(m, 0) &= m \\ a(m, n^+) &= \sigma(a(m, n)) \end{aligned}$$

**Slight Problem** At first glance, we appear to be defining  $a$  in terms of  $a$ .

**More Serious Problem**

1. Can we prove that a function  $a: \omega \times \omega \rightarrow \omega$  satisfying the above properties exists, using our current axioms of set theory?
2. If so, can we prove that there exists a *unique* such function?

**Theorem 7.15 (Recursion on  $\omega$ ).** *Suppose that  $A$  is a set,  $a \in A$  and  $F: A \rightarrow A$  is a function. Then there exists a unique function  $h: \omega \rightarrow A$  satisfying the following conditions:*

(i)  $h(0) = a$

(ii) for every  $n \in \omega$ ,  $h(n^+) = F(h(n))$ .

*Proof.* We break the proof up into a series of claims.

**Claim.** There exists *at most one* such function  $h: \omega \rightarrow A$ .

*Proof.* Suppose that  $h_1: \omega \rightarrow A$  and  $h_2: \omega \rightarrow A$  both satisfy conditions (i) and (ii). We must prove that  $h_1 = h_2$ . Let

$$S = \{n \in \omega \mid h_1(n) = h_2(n)\}.$$

We shall prove that  $S$  is inductive. By condition (i),

$$h_1(0) = a = h_2(0).$$

Hence  $0 \in S$ . Now suppose that  $n \in S$ . Then  $h_1(n) = h_2(n)$ . By condition (ii),

$$h_1(n^+) = F(h_1(n)) = F(h_2(n)) = h_2(n^+).$$

Thus  $n^+ \in S$ . By induction,  $S = \omega$  and so  $h_1 = h_2$ . □

Now we show that there is *at least one* such function.

**Definition 7.16.** A function  $v$  is *acceptable* if  $\text{dom } v \subseteq \omega$ ,  $\text{ran } v \subseteq A$ , and the following conditions hold:

(a) If  $0 \in \text{dom } v$ , then  $v(0) = a$ .

(b) If  $n^+ \in \text{dom } v$ , then  $n \in \text{dom } v$  and  $v(n^+) = F(v(n))$ .

**Example 7.17.**

$$v = \{\langle 0, a \rangle\} \text{ is acceptable.}$$

$$v = \{\langle 0, a \rangle, \langle 1, F(a) \rangle\} \text{ is acceptable.}$$

Let  $K \subseteq \mathcal{P}(\omega \times A)$  be the set of acceptable functions. Then we define

$$h = \bigcup K.$$

Clearly  $h \subseteq \omega \times A$ . In particular,  $h$  is a set of ordered pairs.

**Claim.**  $h$  is a function.

*Proof.* We must prove that for each  $n \in \text{dom } h$ , there exists a unique  $y \in A$  such that  $\langle n, y \rangle \in h$ . (Note that we are *not* yet proving that  $\text{dom } h = \omega$ .) Let

$$T = \{n \in \omega \mid \text{there exists at most one } y \text{ so that } \langle n, y \rangle \in h\}$$

We shall prove that  $T$  is inductive. First suppose that  $\langle 0, y_1 \rangle, \langle 0, y_2 \rangle \in h$ . Then there exists acceptable functions  $v_1, v_2$  so that  $v_1(0) = y_1$  and  $v_2(0) = y_2$ . By condition (a),

$$v_1(0) = a = v_2(0)$$

Thus  $0 \in T$ . Next suppose that  $n \in T$ . To see that  $n^+ \in T$ , suppose that  $\langle n^+, y_1 \rangle, \langle n^+, y_2 \rangle \in h$ . Then there exist acceptable functions  $v_1, v_2$  such that  $v_1(n^+) = y_1$  and  $v_2(n^+) = y_2$ . By condition (b), we have that

$$n \in \text{dom } v_1 \quad \text{and} \quad v_1(n^+) = F(v_1(n))$$

$$n \in \text{dom } v_2 \quad \text{and} \quad v_2(n^+) = F(v_2(n))$$

Since  $n \in T$ , we have that  $v_1(n) = v_2(n)$ . Hence  $v_1(n^+) = v_2(n^+)$  and so  $n^+ \in T$ . By induction,  $T = \omega$  and so  $h$  is a function.  $\square$

**Claim.**  $h$  is acceptable.

*Proof.* Clearly  $\text{dom } h \subseteq \omega$  and  $\text{ran } h \subseteq A$ . Suppose that  $0 \in \text{dom } h$ . Then there exists an acceptable function  $v$  such that  $h(0) = v(0) = a$ . Thus (a) holds.

Now suppose that  $n^+ \in \text{dom } h$ . Then there exists an acceptable function  $v$  such that  $h(n^+) = v(n^+)$ . Furthermore,  $n \in \text{dom } v$  and  $h(n) = v(n)$ . Also,

$$h(n^+) = v(n^+) = F(v(n)) = F(h(n))$$

and so (b) also holds.  $\square$

**Claim.**  $\text{dom } h = \omega$

*Proof.* We shall prove that  $\text{dom } h$  is inductive. First note that  $v = \{\langle 0, a \rangle\}$  is acceptable and so  $0 \in \text{dom } h$ . Next suppose that  $n \in \text{dom } h$ . Thus there exists an acceptable function  $v$  such that  $v(n) = h(n)$ . If  $n^+ \in \text{dom } v$ , then  $n^+ \in \text{dom } h$ . If  $n^+ \notin \text{dom } v$ , then

$$u = v \cup \{\langle n^+, F(v(n)) \rangle\}$$

is acceptable and so  $n^+ \in \text{dom } h$ . By induction,  $\text{dom } h = \omega$ .  $\square$

This completes the proof of the Recursion Theorem.  $\square$

Now we are ready to define the various arithmetic operations on  $\omega$ .

## Addition

First for each  $m \in \omega$ , the Recursion Theorem gives a unique function  $A_m: \omega \rightarrow \omega$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} A_m(0) &= m \\ A_m(n^+) &= A_m(n)^+ \end{aligned}$$

Now we can define addition to be the binary operation on  $\omega$  defined by

$$A(m, n) = A_m(n).$$

Thus

$$A = \{ \langle \langle m, n \rangle, p \rangle \mid \langle \langle m, n \rangle, p \rangle \in (\omega \times \omega) \times \omega \text{ and } p = A_m(n) \}.$$

**Notation** We shall write  $m + n = A(m, n)$ .

Thus the above equations can be rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned} m + 0 &= m \\ m + n^+ &= (m + n)^+ \end{aligned}$$

## Multiplication

Our plan is to define multiplication recursively in terms of addition, so that:

$$\begin{aligned} m \cdot 0 &= 0 \\ m \cdot n^+ &= m + m \cdot n \end{aligned}$$

Once again, first for each  $m \in \omega$ , the Recursion Theorem gives a unique function  $M_m: \omega \rightarrow \omega$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} M_m(0) &= 0 \\ M_m(n^+) &= m + M_m(n) \end{aligned}$$

Now we can define multiplication to be the binary operation on  $\omega$  defined by  $M(m, n) = M_m(n)$ .

**Notation** We shall write  $m \cdot n = M_m(n)$ . As desired, the above equations can now be rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned} m \cdot 0 &= 0 \\ m \cdot n^+ &= m + m \cdot n \end{aligned}$$

**Summary** We have the following identities.

$$\begin{aligned} (A1) \quad m + 0 &= m \\ (A2) \quad m + n^+ &= (m + n)^+ \\ (M1) \quad m \cdot 0 &= 0 \\ (M2) \quad m \cdot n^+ &= m + m \cdot n \end{aligned}$$

When functions are defined by recursion, properties of the functions are usually proved by induction.

**Theorem 7.18.** *For all  $m, n \in \omega$ ,*

$$m + n = n + m.$$

We first need to prove two lemmas,

**Lemma 7.19.** *For all  $n \in \omega$ ,  $0 + n = n$ .*

*Proof.* It is enough to prove that the set

$$A = \{n \in \omega \mid 0 + n = n\}$$

is inductive. By (A1),  $0 + 0 = 0$  and so  $0 \in A$ . Next suppose that  $k \in A$ . Then

$$0 + k = k \quad (*)$$

and so

$$\begin{aligned} 0 + k^+ &= (0 + k)^+ \quad \text{by (A2)} \\ &= k^+ \quad \text{by (*)} \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $k^+ \in A$ . Hence  $A$  is inductive. □

**Lemma 7.20.** *For all  $m, n \in \omega$ ,  $m^+ + n = (m + n)^+$ .*

*Proof.* Fix some  $m \in \omega$ . Then it is enough to show that

$$B = \{n \in \omega \mid m^+ + n = (m + n)^+\}$$

is inductive. Applying (A1) twice, we see that

$$m^+ + 0 = m^+ = (m + 0)^+$$

and so  $0 \in B$ . Next suppose that  $k \in B$ . Then

$$m^+ + k = (m + k)^+ \quad (**).$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} m^+ + k^+ &= (m^+ + k)^+ \quad \text{by (A2)} \\ &= (m + k)^{++} \quad \text{by (**)} \\ &= (m + k^+)^+ \quad \text{by (A2)} \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $k^+ \in B$ . Hence  $B$  is inductive. □



*Proof of Theorem 7.18.* Fix some  $n \in \omega$ . Then it is enough to show that

$$C = \{m \in \omega \mid m + n = n + m\}$$

is inductive. By Lemma 7.19 and (A1),

$$0 + n = n = n + 0$$

and so  $0 \in C$ . Next suppose that  $k \in C$ . Then

$$k + n = n + k \quad (***)$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} k^+ + n &= (k + n)^+ \quad \text{by Lemma 7.20} \\ &= (n + k)^+ \quad \text{by (***)} \\ &= n + k^+ \quad \text{by (A2)} \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $k^+ \in C$ . Hence  $C$  is inductive. □

**Theorem 7.21.** *The following identities hold for all natural numbers*

1.  $m + (n + p) = (m + n) + p$
2.  $m + n = n + m$
3.  $m \cdot (n + p) = m \cdot n + m \cdot p$
4.  $m \cdot (n \cdot p) = (m \cdot n) \cdot p$
5.  $m \cdot n = n \cdot m$

*Proof.* Reading exercise. Enderton p. 81. □

**Remark 7.22.** Note that, as expected, we have that

$$n^+ \stackrel{(A1)}{=} (n + 0)^+ \stackrel{(A2)}{=} n + 0^+ = n + 1.$$

**Exercise 7.23.** Prove that  $m \cdot 1 = m$ .